Definition and Prevalence of LMT Lesions

- Angiographic DS ≥50%, less commonly ≥70%
- 5-10% of patients with CAD
- Isolated LMT disease in <1% of patients
- Commonly reflects very advanced CAD
  - 80% have 2 or 3 vessel disease
  - 50% have RCA disease
  - 50% have a total occlusion
Prognostic Implications of LMT Disease

Angiographic Disease Severity and 5 Year Mortality
The APPROACH Registry (n = 11,661)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angiographic Flavors of LMT Lesions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ostial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter-induced Dissection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Limitations of Angiography in LMT Disease

Assessment of LMT disease/ stenosis severity is one of the most difficult and least reproducible tasks for an angiographer. Anatomical features and technical considerations that lead to such suboptimal assessment include:

- Short trunk between cusp and bifurcation
- Bifurcation splitting in 2 different planes
- No reference segment for visual comparison
- Need “back flush” to visualize the ostium, but forceful injections result in disengagement

Ventricularization of Pressure in LMT Disease

- A hybrid waveform between aortic pressure and coronary wedge pressure
- Resulting from partial obstruction of the left main lumen by the catheter
- Differential diagnosis includes suboptimal position of the catheter tip against the wall of the artery (e.g. JL4 in short relatively young female)

Distorted arterial waveform with slight decrease in systolic and marked decrease in diastolic pressures
Adjunctive Tools to Assess LMT Disease

- IVUS
- FFR
- OCT
- IFR

FFR Assessment of Left Main Coronary Lesions

- 54 patients, LMT stenosis 40-60%
- FFR measured in all,
  - < 0.75 → CABG
  - > 0.75 → Medical Rx
- After 29 months of f/u, no difference in MACE between medical Rx and CABG

**Prognostic Implications of FFR Guidance in LMT**

MACE (OR 1.43, 95% CI: 0.88, 2.35, \(p=0.15\))

Non-fatal MI (OR 1.23, 95% CI: 0.34, 4.48, \(p=0.76\))

Mortality (OR 0.50, 95% CI: 0.24, 1.04, \(p=0.06\))

Revasc (OR: 3.24, 95% CI: 1.51, 6.93, \(p=0.002\))

**Effect of Downstream Disease on FFR of LMT**

The influence of a distal stenosis on the FFR of the LMT depends on the extent to which hyperemic flow across the LMT stenosis will be decreased by this downstream stenosis.

Hyperemic flow will most likely be affected with severe stenoses and/or large volume of myocardium supplied (i.e. proximal more than distal and LAD more than LCX)
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Effect of Downstream Disease on FFR of LMT

When \( \text{FFR}_{\text{app}} > 0.85 \), \( \text{FFR}_{\text{true}} \) is >0.80 in 100% of cases

Practical Recommendations

First measure FFR in the least diseased vessel, preferably the LAD, with a pullback
- If FFR < 0.80, then revascularize
- If FFR >0.85, then treat medically
- If FFR between 0.80 and 0.85 and there is significant downstream epicardial disease in the other epicardial vessel, then can consider IVUS

Correlation Between IVUS and FFR in LMT

MLA (mm²)  MLD (mm)  CSN (%)

Intermediate LMT Lesions Are Difficult to Assess

Lumen Area = 4.1 mm²

Lumen Area = 7.5 mm²

Ambiguous Morphology

Diagnostic Angiography  Guiding Catheter Placement  IVUS of Left Main Trunk
Role of IVUS Imaging in LMT Stenting

- Pre-procedure Planning
  - Vessel Sizing
  - Plaque Composition and Distribution
  - Need for Lesion Preparation
  - State of The Bifurcation and the Ostia

- Post-procedure Assessment
  - Stent Expansion
  - Strut Apposition
  - Edge Dissections
  - Missed Lesions

Propensity matched cohorts (LMT PCI with and without IVUS guidance) from MAIN-COMPARE Trial

Role of IVUS Imaging in LMT Stenting

Propensity matched cohorts (LMT PCI with and without IVUS guidance) from the SCAAR Registry


IVUS Guidance of PCI Is Strongly Recommended
OCT Imaging in Left Main Disease

- Technically challenging due to need for contrast flush during imaging – specially when ostium needs interrogation
- Minimal data exist regarding parameters and thresholds of hemodynamic significance and stent size that offers the best long term outcome

iFR in Left Main Disease

Conclusions

- Left main trunk lesions are serious and have to be identified or suspected early.
- Angiography is notoriously inaccurate in diagnosing and quantifying severity of LMT disease.
- FFR is an excellent tool that can be used to identify significant lesions, but accuracy maybe affected slightly by proximal and relatively severe lesions in LAD or LCX.
- IVUS imaging can be useful for diagnostic and interventional applications, with growing evidence supporting its role in guiding PCI (reduced risk of death and MI over thy ensuing years).